

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/091,149	0	3/04/2002	Baokang Yang	19400/09014	5923		
1095	7590	01/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
NOVARTI	~	LECTUAL PROPER	BECKER,	BECKER, DREW E			
ONE HEAL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
EAST HAN	OVER, N.	07936-1080	1761				

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.55 * 4 (1)		10/091,149	YANG, BAOKANG			
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Drew E Becker	1761			
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STAT THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be avafter SIX (6) MONTHS from t - If the period for reply specifie - If NO period for reply is speci - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. railable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. d above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply field above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, ice later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FII		action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) i 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/ 7) ☐ Claim(s) i						
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection to the correction sheet(s) including the correction	r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119					
a) All b) Som 1. Certified c 2. Certified c 3. Copies of application	ne * c) None of: opies of the priority documents opies of the priority documents the certified copies of the priori on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited	I (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's P	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/091,149 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11-20, and 22-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liebrecht et al [Pat. No. 6,106,874].

Liebrecht et al teach a beverage comprising up to 4% whey protein isolate (column 4, line 54), a carbohydrate content of 15-40% (column 10, line 35), about 2% edible acid (column 4, line 55), 5-95% fruit juice (column 4, line 40), the beverage being clear (column 8, line 49), a pH of 4.0 or less (column 8, line 25), a viscosity of less than 15 cp (column 9, line 30), carbohydrates such as a blend of sucrose, fructose, and maltodextrin (column 8, line 12), acids such as a blend of malic, citric, and phosphoric (column 8, line 27), at least 33% the recommended daily intake of calcium (column 9, line 1), vitamins such as folic acid (column 8, line 56), an absence of arabinogalactan, less than 0.1% pectin (column 9, line 36), and the ratios of ingredients being varied within the overall range (column 10, line 21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/091,149 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liebrecht et al as applied above, in view of GB 2335134A.

Liebrecht et al teach the above mentioned components. Liebrecht et al do not recite the use of whey protein hydrolysate. GB 2335134A teaches the use of whey protein hydrolysate in a beverage (page 3, lines 17-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the whey protein hydrolysate of GB 2335134A into the invention of Liebrecht et al since both are directed to beverage compositions, since Liebrecht et al already included whey protein isolate (column 4, line 55), and since GB 2335134A teaches that whey protein hydrolysate had the advantage of being more easily digested (page 3, line 22).

5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liebrecht et al as applied above, in view of JP 404311378A.

Liebrecht et al teach the above mentioned components. Liebrecht et al do not recite about 0.5-4% inulin. JP 404311378A teaches a fruit juice beverage comprising 1-30% of a type of inulin (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the inulin of JP 404311378A into the invention of Liebrecht et al since both are directed to beverage compositions, since Liebrecht et al already included supplemental carbohydrates (column 7, line 59), and since the inulin of JP 404311378A would have provided an added source of fiber (abstract).

6. Applicant's arguments filed December 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Liebrecht et al teach depectinized fruit juice. However, the claims do not exclude the use of depectinized fruit juice. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., an absence of depectinized fruit juice) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant argues that Liebrecht et al did not contain any arabinogalactan.

However, the absence of arabinogalactan meets the claim limitation of "up to about 5%". Applicant acknowledges this in their response on page 4 in the paragraph addressing the 112(2) rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Liebrecht et al is

Art Unit: 1761

directed to a beverage composition containing whey protein isolates (column 4, line 55), and GB 2335134A is directed to a beverage composition with whey protein hydrolysate which provided the advantage of being more easily digested (page 3, line 22).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the absence of inulin derived from Helianthus tuberosus L.) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew E Becker

Primary ExaminePRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1761